



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/583,622

04/23/2007

Satoshi Washio

9683/266

5916

79510

7590

10/21/2009

NTT DoCoMo Inc/BHGL

P.O. Box 10395

Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER

SARWAR, BABAR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/21/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments filed **10/06/2009** have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
2. **Claim 20** has been cancelled.
3. **Claims 21-22** have been amended into independent form.
4. **Claims 1-19, 21-25** have been rejected.

The applicant argued about features wherein "to determine whether the content specified in the message is stored in the communication device in correlation with the use identification retrieved from the module," and "in response to the determination that the content is stored in correlation with the user identification retrieved from the module, to disable use of the content," and "in response to the determination that the specified content is stored in the memory in correlation with the module user identification, the processor further configured to disable use of the specified content stored in the memory," read over Yamada in view of Hayward as follows;

Yamada discloses comparing different UIMID's to ascertain if the user requesting the execution of the stored content is the same as the one who downloaded the contents. If the UIMID's do not correlate with each other, the execution of the stored contents is cancelled. And if the UIMID's correlate with each other, the execution of the stored contents is allowed as disclosed in **Para 143-145 and exhibited in Figs. 2, 14**. Thus Yamada in view of Hayward shows the abovementioned limitation.

The applicant further argued about features wherein, "erasing the content from the memory of the communication terminal" based upon the message when "the content specified in the message is stored in the memory of the communication terminal in correlation with the user identification," where the "communication terminal [is] identified with a user identification" used to connect to a communication network connecting, with a communication terminal identified with a user identification, to a communication network" where "in response to determination that the content specified in the message is stored in the memory of the communication terminal in correlation with the user identification, controlling the content stored in the memory of the communication terminal based upon the message." read over Yamada in view of Hayward as follows;

Yamada discloses cancellation of the execution of the stored contents based on the correlation of the UIMID's as disclosed in **Para 0005, 0102, 143-145 and exhibited in Figs. 2, 14**. Hayward discloses the deletion of the stored contents as disclosed on **Pages 3:10-12, 5:4-23, 8:1-7, 10:25-32, 11:1-5, and exhibited in Fig. 1A**, where Philip discloses a message being sent from the database server to the personal data device to delete the contents in the storage means. Thus Yamada in view of Hayward shows the abovementioned limitation.

Concerning the feature wherein "modifying a content management table stored on the module to disable use of the content with any communication terminal operated in conjunction with the module," read over Yamada in view of Hayward as follows;

Art Unit: 2617

Hayward discloses that the data server resets/modifies the personal data device if the personal data device is no longer in owner's possession as disclosed on **Page 22:5-23, where Philip discloses resetting the personal data device to default setting, therefore modifying the data on the module to disable use of the specified content.** The claim language as interpreted broadly and reasonably does not exclude modifying and disabling the use of the stored contents with any communication terminal operated in conjunction with the module. Thus Yamada in view of Hayward shows the abovementioned limitation.

/BABAR SARWAR/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617